

Wisconsin Enterprise Architecture Team (WEAT)
April 4, 8:30 – Noon, DOA Building, Yahara (122) Conference Room

Invited Attendees:

WEAT Voting Members:

Doug Bingenheimer (Team Leader/Chief Enterprise Architect)
Keith Hazelton (UW Representative)
Mickey Crittenden (Rock County, local government representative)
Jay Jaeger (DOT, large state agency representative)
Diane Kohn (WD, large state agency representative)

DET Representatives:

Allen Poppe (DET development representative)
Erik Mickelson (Enterprise Process Integration)
Rich Hamann (DNR small agency representative, Apps rep.)
Paul Hedges (WHS small agency representative)
Kate Nolan (IBIS representative)
Kevin Acker (SIS technical Lead)

Team Facilitator: Doug Bingenheimer

Note taker: Jane Rains, an intern from MATC

Agenda

	Time	Item	Presenter	Item Description	Discussion	Action Item(s)	Responsible Person	Due Date
1	8:30	Introductions & Announcements	Doug Bingenheimer	Attendees introduced themselves and briefly described their current agency and WEAT roles.	Dave Mockert has been appointed as lead DET operations lead technical architect.			

Content Contacts: DOA/DET Enterprise Architects

Doug Bingenheimer, Doug.Bingenheimer@Wisconsin.gov , 608-264-7892

Tekla Włodarczyk, Tekla.Wlodarczyk@Wisconsin.gov , 608-266-5054

Wisconsin Enterprise Architecture Team (WEAT)
April 4, 8:30 – Noon, DOA Building, Yahara (122) Conference Room

	Time	Item	Presenter	Item Description	Discussion	Action Item(s)	Responsible Person	Due Date
2	8:45	Remote Access Issues	Kevin Acker	Asked for comments and concerns from the group	Reported some of the issues they are reviewing. Briefly discussed concern in mixing policy and implementation in single documents - since it leads to higher maintenance documents.	Jay Jaeger will talk to Kevin later about privileges to Web applications, ID manager and Access Manager	Jay Jaeger	ASAP
		Delegated Administration			<p>A few documents from SIS dealt with specific details of delegated administration. Doug has asked that SIS/DET provide WEAT with a more holistic set of documents re: delegated admin.</p> <p>Discussion.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Need to define (a few) standardized roles/responsibilities for support related Service Offering Definitions - Different models negotiated for every agency or application will be dangerous. At the same time, a single model will not work because of differing agency requirements imposed on them from the outside. • Current state of plan appears to be a "hodge-podge" of around 20 tools, apparently financial constraints have prevented acquisition of a holistic toolset in this area • Need to develop a vision and strategic policy direction over time • Order of Vetting: DET senior leadership, WEAT, TLC • Recognized as a high priority to enable successful SIS agency migrations – was discussed later with Matt who concurs. 	<p>DET (Joe Rueden) will appoint a team to work on a model for Delegated Administration for SIS. As SIS teams close down, this role will fall to DET to develop. A high level outline has been developed and a rights granting process and set of toolset recommendation s has been developed. Rich and Doug will be WEAT's representatives on this work team. Jay Jaeger will develop a delegated administration principles bullet list based upon WEAT's principles</p>	<p>Rich Hamann will be the collection point for input from WEAT.</p> <p>Keith Hazelton will send information about tools the U. W. uses, to Kevin Acker</p> <p>Allen Poppe will provide "Use Case" Information</p>	ASAP

Wisconsin Enterprise Architecture Team (WEAT)
April 4, 8:30 – Noon, DOA Building, Yahara (122) Conference Room

	Time	Item	Presenter	Item Description	Discussion	Action Item(s)	Responsible Person	Due Date
3	9:45	IBIS	Kate Nolan	Gave a status report followed by a Q&A	<p>Completed selection process for ERP. In contract negotiations for People Soft-Oracle. A pre-install checklist has been received. Looking at platforms. Desire to find best fit among the many considerations (such as scalability, TCO, organizational capability and training, vendor support issues, risk to infrastructure, and installed user base.</p> <p>- Database environment is pretty obvious; application server for ERP itself is also probably straightforward. More questions regarding web app server: WebLogic vs. WebSphere.</p> <p>WebSphere tends to be on AIX, WebLogic tends to be on other platforms. Also, it is likely that some data will not be converted and will need to be “supported” in the current technologies.</p> <p>WEAT recognizes that IBIS requirements may be of the magnitude that may justify optimization in currently non-supported environments. Keeping with the WEAT principles, such variation requires compelling justification be provided.</p>	<p>Kate (with DET operations management) will assess technical architecture options and develop recommendations for best fit among the environments and the many considerations. WEAT can expect to review the analysis of alternatives and justification for each environmental selection.</p>	<p>Diane Kohn will work with Kate re: technical architecture considerations and the information required if exceptions are to be pursued.</p> <p>Allan Poppe will help Kate with Web Service Support</p>	ASAP
		HP OV	Max Babler	Uses documentation	Held over as Max could not attend this meeting.			
	10:30	Break				.		

Wisconsin Enterprise Architecture Team (WEAT)
April 4, 8:30 – Noon, DOA Building, Yahara (122) Conference Room

	Time	Item	Presenter	Item Description	Discussion	Action Item(s)	Responsible Person	Due Date
4	10:45	SOA	Erik Mickelson	Update on SOA	<p>Erik is providing ESB/SOA support for a variety of inter-agency initiatives.</p> <p>Purpose is to establish an interoperability architecture for information and service exchange that leverages existing agency investments and distinguishes architectures from products.</p> <p><u>Three principle areas</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Interoperability <ul style="list-style-type: none"> --communication models --data canonization -security IAM/FIAM 2. Shared Services 3. Enterprise (Inter-agency) workflow <p>- Justice integration /interoperability group</p> <p>- Federated Identity Management: Participate in UW DOIT project (May bring into overall enterprise environment)</p> <p>- CARES (DHFS => DeLoitte)</p> <p>- Routing thru ESB - Also working on workflow (rather than using application code)</p> <p>-- Current use of Cape Clear product focused on setting architectural patterns and inter-op standards independent of product, serve as an access perimeter between State and local government, interact with & fill the gaps between agency solutions.</p>	Allen Poppe will talk with Erik about Portal Systems Integration		ASAP

Wisconsin Enterprise Architecture Team (WEAT)
April 4, 8:30 – Noon, DOA Building, Yahara (122) Conference Room

	Time	Item	Presenter	Item Description	Discussion	Action Item(s)	Responsible Person	Due Date
5	11:00	CIO meeting	Matt Miszewski	Issues and Updates Discussion	<p>ESB / SOA effort is resource challenged; collaborative agency staffing is being explored (ala club tech, other)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Hiring (staff or contractors) is not a likely solution - How to identify high value / payoff topics for work? <p>Both DOA and agencies recognize gap – need to institutionalize this work to realize cumulative benefits; a community effort requiring reciprocation amongst agencies.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - The value of accountability - Discussed delegated administration issue & symmetries between SOA/Portal/IAM DA/support/et al. - Importance of establishing collaborative baselines from which portfolio, versioning, and configuration management can occur - Discussion of SIS, project, resources, planning, purchasing decisions - Discussion of how do we move to something different <p>Discussion after CIO left</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> -WEAT should propose actions - What critical pieces of SIS standards have we not yet seen to deal with before migrations occur - Important not to have a situation where we bring things up but then nothing actually happens - Need to have buy-in across the board -WEAT will need to propose solutions, not just identify problems <p>Discussed staffing needs and resource crunches. Adding staff isn't an option. Need to harness resources, and collaborate. Due to loss of staff, time is a problem for working on WEAT. Need to build trust between agencies. Need for standards to be defined and need to receive the standards in a timely manner from the other agencies to prevent a bottleneck at WEAT.</p>	<p>WEAT is going to develop a proposal.</p> <p>Matt offered to work with WEAT to do a business plan to facilitate the proposal.</p>	<p>WEAT</p> <p>Matt Miszewski</p>	ASAP

Content Contacts: DOA/DET Enterprise Architects

Doug Bingerheimer, Doug.Bingenheimer@Wisconsin.gov , 608-264-7892

Tekla Wlodarczyk, Tekla.Wlodarczyk@Wisconsin.gov , 608-266-5054

Wisconsin Enterprise Architecture Team (WEAT)
April 4, 8:30 – Noon, DOA Building, Yahara (122) Conference Room

	Time	Item	Presenter	Item Description	Discussion	Action Item(s)	Responsible Person	Due Date
6	11:35	Portal Update	Allan Poppe	Portal Update	8 agencies are meeting - Project has been deemed a high priority but no additional resources were allocated - Created a business justification document - WEAT members asked: what is the scope of this project? WEAT requested a document to define scope since it could be quite large with strategic impact.	Allen Poppe had a business justification from someone they hired, could serve as a model for a "Road Map"	Allen Poppe	Will send to WEAT

Future Agenda Items

Suggested Meeting Date	Item	Contact	Description
4-18-06 8:30 to Noon	<u>Send thoughts to Doug on the following items:</u> 1. Next meeting: Brainstorm Collaboration, WEAT Action Activities, How enterprise can be more effective and efficient – what are the Resource requirements /strategies? Rightsizing of resources 2. What things are there that we have yet to see that need attention from SIS? <u>TRM update</u> Kevin Acker left with Doug an outline for organizing the web site. Jay forwarded the suggestion first brought up by Keith that we just use the FEA technical reference model taxonomy. DET Technology Selection Update (Not covered: out of time) Book Reference (thanks to Keith Hazelton) <u>IT Portfolio Management Step-by-step</u> ; Bryan Maizlish, Robert Handler (Wiley) <u>IT Portfolio Management: An overview</u> <u>Planning for IT Portfolio Mgt: ready, aim, THEN fire</u>	Doug Bingenheimer will collect ideas	Brainstorm being more effective and efficient. Determine what items need attention for SIS, IBIS, the Portal and overall.